## Modified Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS) Checklist: Validity Studies

Checklist completed by:		
Study Identification (Include of	author, title, year of publication, jo	ournal title, pages) :
Guideline Topic:		
2. Is the paper relevant to key	y? IF NO, consider irrelevant. IF YE y question and has a clear objectiv atient or Population, Intervention,	ve?
□ Accepted		
☐ Rejected: 1. Paper not relev	vant to key question $\square$ 2. Other re	ason   (please specify):
According to Sackett and Hay considered:	nes, this diagnostic study is	Phase I □ Phase II □ Phase III □ Phase IV □
Phase 1 : Review Question		
1. State the review question	1:	
2. Patients (setting, intended	d use of index test, presentation, p	orior testing) :

3. Index test(s):
4. Reference standard and target condition :
4. Reference standard and target condition .
Phase 2: Draw a flow diagram for the primary study
Phase 3: Risk of bias and applicability judgments
QUADAS-2 is structured so that 4 key domains are each rated in terms of the risk of bias and
the concern regarding applicability to the research question (as defined above). Each key
domain has a set of signalling questions to help reach the judgments regarding bias and
applicability.
DOMAIN 1: PATIENT SELECTION
A. Risk of Bias
Describe methods of patient selection:

1.1 Was a consecutive or random sample of patients	□ Yes
enrolled?	□No
	□ Unclear
Comments:	Unclear
Comments.	
1.2 Was a case-control design avoided?	□ Yes
	□ No
	□ Unclear
Comments:	
1.3. Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions?	□ Yes
1.5. Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions:	
	□ No
	□ Unclear
Comments:	
Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?	RISK: □ LOW
could the selection of patients have introduced sids.	□ HIGH
	□ UNCLEAR
	UNCLEAR
Comments:	
B. Concerns regarding applicability	
Describe included patients (prior testing, presentation, intended	ed use of index test and
The state of the s	

setting):		
Is there concern that the included patients do not match the review question?	CONCERN:	□ LOW □ HIGH □ UNCLEAR
Comments:		
DOMAIN 2: INDEX TEST(S)		
If more than one index test was used, please complete for each	test.	
A. Risk of Bias		
Describe the index test and how it was conducted and interpre	ted:	
2.1. We want has in day, to start you like into your to do with out	= Vaa	
2.1. Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?	□ Yes □ No □ Unclear	□ N/A
Comments:		
2.2. If a threshold was used, was it pre-specified?	□ Yes □ No □ Unclear	□ N/A
Comments:		
Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?	RISK: □ LO	

Comments:		
B. Concerns regarding applicability:		
Is there concern that the index test, its conduct, or		
interpretation differ from the review question?	□ HIGH □ UNCLEAR	
Comments:	UNCLLAR	
DOMAIN 3: REFERENCE STANDARD		
This domain is not applicable for phase 1 studies based on the	Sackett classification	
A. Risk of Bias		
3.1. Describe the reference standard and how it was conducted	d and interpreted:	
Comments:		
3.2. Is the reference standard likely to correctly classify the	□ Yes	
target condition?	□No	
	□ Unclear	
	☐ Not applicable	
Comments:		
Comments.		
	T	
3.3. Were the reference standard results interpreted without	□ Yes	
knowledge of the results of the index test?	□ No	
	□ Unclear	
	□ Not applicable	
Comments:		

Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its	RISK: □ LOW
interpretation have introduced bias?	□ HIGH
	□ UNCLEAR
	□NOT APPLICABLE
Comments:	
B. Concerns regarding applicability	
Is there concern that the target condition as defined by the	CONCERN:
reference standard does not match the review question?	□ LOW
reference standard does not mater the review question:	□ HIGH
	UNCLEAR
	□ NOT APPLICABLE
Comments:	- HOT ATTECABLE
Comments.	
DOMAIN 4: FLOW AND TIMING	
A. Risk of Bias	
Describe any patients who did not receive the index test(s) and	d/or reference standard or who
were excluded from the 2x2 table (refer to flow diagram):	,,
,	
Describe the time interval and any interventions between	n index test(s) and reference
standard:	· ,
4.1. Was there an appropriate interval between index test(s)	□ Yes
4.1. Was there an appropriate interval between index test(s) and reference standard?	□ Yes □ No
4.1. Was there an appropriate interval between index test(s) and reference standard?	□No
	□No

4.2. Did all patients receive a reference standard?	□ Yes
	□ No
	□ Unclear □ N/A
Comments:	
4.3. Did patients receive the same reference standard?	□ Yes
	□ No □ Unclear □ N/A
	U Officieal U N/A
Comments:	
4.4. Were all patients included in the analysis?	□ Yes
	□ No □ Unclear
	□ Officieal
Comments:	
Could the patient flow have introduced bias?	RISK: □ LOW □ HIGH
Comments:	I
What is your overall assessment of the methodological	Accomtable =
quality of this study?	Acceptable
	Unacceptable □

Comments:			