Modified Quality Appraisal of Diagnostic Reliability (QAREL) Checklist: Reliability Studies

Study identification (Include author, title, year of publication, journal title, pages)

Guideline topic:

Key Question No:

Before completing this checklist, consider:

- 1. Is the paper a reliability study? IF NO, consider irrelevant. IF YES continue.
- 2. Is the paper relevant to key question? Analyse using PICO (Patient or Population Intervention Comparison Outcome). IF NO reject. IF YES complete the checklist.
- □ Accepted

 \Box Rejected: 1. Paper not relevant to key question \Box 2. Other reason \Box (please specify):

Checklist completed by:

SECTION 1: CHECKLIST ITEMS

Checklist item:		Response:	
1	Is the study objective clearly described?	Yes □	No 🗆
	Comments:		
2	Was the test evaluated in a sample of subjects who were representative of those to whom the authors intended the results to be applied?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
		Unclear 🗆	
	Comments:		
2	Man the test performed by retary who were representative of		
3	Was the test performed by raters who were representative of those to whom the authors intended the results to be applied?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
	Comments:	Unclear 🗆	N/A □

4	Were raters blinded to the findings of other raters during the	Yes □	No □
	study?		
	Comments:	Unclear 🗆	N/A □
_			
5	Were raters blinded to their own prior findings of the test under evaluation?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
	Comments:	Unclear 🗆	N/A □
6	Were raters blinded to the results of the reference standard for	Yes □	No 🗆
	the target disorder (or variable) being evaluated?	Unclear 🗆	N/A □
	Comments:		N/A 🗆
7	Were raters blinded to clinical information that was not		
	intended to be provided as part of the testing procedure or	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
	study design?	Unclear 🗆	
	Comments:		
8	Were raters blinded to additional cues that were not part of the		
0	test?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
	Comments:	Unclear 🗆	N/A □
9	Was the order of examination varied?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
	Comments:	Unclear 🗆	

10	Was the time interval between repeated measurements compatible with the stability (or theoretical stability) of the variable being measured? Comments:	Yes □ Unclear □	No 🗆
11	Was the test applied correctly and interpreted appropriately? Comments:	Yes □ Unclear □	No 🗆
12	Were appropriate statistical measures of agreement used? Comments:	Yes □ Unclear □	No 🗆
SECT	ION 2: OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE STUDY		
1	What is your overall assessment of the methodological quality of this study? Comments:	Acceptable	
3	Notes (include authors' conclusions):		

N/A – not applicable